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Abstract (283 words)

Background: Although stereotype threat is a well-documented phenomenon, previous studies examining it in older adults have almost exclusively focused on objective cognitive outcomes. Considerably less attention has been paid to the impact of stereotype threat on older adults’ subjective assessments of their own abilities or to the impact of stereotype threat in non-cognitive domains.

Objective: Older adults are stereotyped as having experienced not only cognitive declines, but physical declines as well. The current study tested the prediction that stereotype threat can negatively influence older adult’s subjective hearing abilities.

Methods: To test this, 115 adults (M age = 50.02, range = 41-67) read either a positive or negative description about how aging affects hearing. All participants then answered a questionnaire in which they assessed their own hearing abilities.

Results: The impact of stereotype threat on self-reported hearing was moderated by chronological age. Participants in their 40’s and early 50’s were unaffected by the stereotype threat manipulation. In contrast, participants in their late 50’s and 60’s rated their hearing as being subjectively worse when under stereotype threat.

Conclusion: The current study provides a clear demonstration that stereotype threat negatively impacts older adults’ subjective assessments of their own abilities. It is also the first study to demonstrate an effect of stereotype threat within the domain of hearing. These results have important implications for researchers investigating age-related hearing decline. Stereotype threat can lead to overestimation of the prevalence of age-related hearing decline. It can also serve as a confounding variable when examining the psychosocial correlates of hearing loss. Because of this, researchers studying age-related hearing loss should aim to provide a stereotype-
threat free testing environment and also include assessments of stereotype threat within their studies.
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Hearing loss affects 48.1 million Americans and its prevalence increases with age. For example, whereas 12.9% of people in their 40’s have some degree of hearing loss, the prevalence increases to 28.5% for people in their 50’s and to 44.9% for people in their 60’s. In fact, by the time people reach their 80’s the prevalence of hearing loss has climbed to 89.1% [1]. This in turn has negative health implications; self-reported hearing loss is associated with impaired physical functioning, steeper rates of cognitive decline, and lower health-related quality of life (see [2]).

Although hearing loss is primarily due to physiological changes, psychological factors may also play a role. For example, in a study by Levy, Slade, and Gill [3] older adults’ stereotypes about aging were assessed by asking them to state the first five words or phrases that came to mind when thinking about “old people”. Responses were then coded for their negativity, which could range from low (e.g., ‘compassionate’) to high (e.g., ‘senile’). In addition to this, participants’ hearing was screened, both during the initial assessment as well as 36 months later. Results revealed that older adults who provided more negative stereotypes about aging during the initial assessment did worse on the hearing assessment 36 months later (after controlling for initial hearing and other covariates). However, a limitation of this study is that it was correlational in design. Although results are consistent with the notion that aging stereotypes adversely affect hearing, it is also possible that an unassessed factor (such as a family history of hearing loss) led to both negative expectations about aging as well as declines in objective hearing over time.

To further examine the impact of aging stereotypes on hearing in this study we examined whether experimentally-manipulated levels of stereotype threat (rather than individual differences in stereotypic beliefs) affect older adults’ hearing. Stereotype threat occurs when
people find themselves in situations where their performance has the potential to confirm a negative self-relevant stereotype. In response to this people often underperform on the task at hand and inadvertently confirm the stereotype they were trying to avoid [4]. For example, older adults are stereotyped as having poor memory abilities. When this stereotype becomes salient to them they tend to underperform on memory tests (for a review, see [5]).

Although stereotype threat has been shown to affect older adults’ objective performance, its influence on older adults’ subjective assessments of their own abilities is less clear. For example, Hess and Hinson [6] examined the impact of age-based stereotype threat on subjective memory abilities in adults who ranged in age from 24 to 86. According to stereotype threat theory, only people who self-identify with the threatened group should exhibit stereotype threat effects (e.g., [7]). Thus, the effects of age-based stereotype threat should be limited to older adults. However, results only partially supported this. Consistent with stereotype threat theory, Hess and Hinson found that their manipulation increased concerns about memory independence, particularly amongst older adults (e.g., ‘If I want to have a good memory I need to have others to help me remember’). However, in contrast to stereotype threat theory, their manipulation had a strong negative effect on participants’ beliefs about their own memory abilities regardless of chronological age (e.g., ‘I can remember the things I need to’; ‘I can find ways to improve my memory’). This age invariance suggests that the effect of their manipulation may not have been due to stereotype threat, but rather may have arisen from experimenter demand or self-stereotyping priming effects (for a review, see [5]).¹ Furthermore, although other research has also reported that stereotype threat lowers older adults’ subjective perceptions of their own

¹ Hess and Hinson [6] also included objective measures of memory performance. Consistent with stereotype threat theory, the influence of changes in memory belief on performance was moderated by chronological age; effects were strongest for older adults in their 60s.
memory abilities [8-9] and found that stereotype threat affects older adults’ self-reported loneliness, extraversion, and health [10], to our knowledge none of these studies have included younger or middle adult control groups. It is therefore possible that, as in Hess and Hinson [6], these effects arose for reasons other than stereotype threat.

The goal of the current study was to further examine the impact of stereotype threat on older adults’ self-perceptions of their own abilities. We did this within the novel domain of hearing abilities. We hypothesized that stereotype threat about age-related hearing decline would lead older adults’ to self-report having poorer hearing abilities. Furthermore, to demonstrate that this predicted behavioral assimilation was due to stereotype threat, we included adults who ranged in age from 41 to 69. We expected the effects of our stereotype threat manipulation to be absent for middle-aged adults, but to emerge as people enter older adulthood.

**Method**

*Participants.*

Participants were recruited through Amazon’s Mechanical Turk (mTurk), an online service that connects people willing to do tasks with people who need those tasks completed. Previous research has shown that data obtained from mTurk participants has high test-retest reliability, and does not significantly differ from data obtained from in-person lab study participants [11-12]. The study was advertised as investigating how people evaluate their own hearing. Interested individuals indicated their age, birth year, educational attainment, and whether or not they had a diagnosed hearing problem (e.g., deafness, use of a hearing aid). Of the 960 individuals who expressed interest in the study, only the 144 who self-reported being between the ages of 41 and 69 and having no diagnosed hearing problems were able to complete the study. Of these 144 participants we eliminated 29 from the data set: (a) 16 responses from
repeat IP addresses, (b) 1 whose comments indicated having completed the study multiple times, (c) 5 who provided inconsistent demographic information (e.g., an incompatible birth year and age), (d) 3 whose comments indicated a hearing problem, (e) 1 who did not complete all questionnaires, (f) 1 non-fluent English speaker, (g) 1 who expressed suspicion about the threat manipulation, and (h) 1 outlier in the positive no-threat condition whose self-reported hearing was more than 2.5 \( SD \) below that of other participants in that condition. This left 115 participants. Of these, 60 were randomly assigned to the negative stereotype threat group and 55 to the positive no-threat group. Participants were on average 50.03 years old. See Table 1 for more demographic information.

**Procedure.**

Participants were randomly assigned to the negative stereotype threat group or to the positive no-threat group. Level of threat was manipulated by asking participants to read fictitious news articles (copies of which are available from the first author upon request). In the negative stereotype threat condition the article stated that hearing loss begins in midlife, rapidly accelerates with age, and leads to a faster rate of cognitive decline. In the positive no-threat condition the article stated that younger adults’ heavy use of earbuds has led to an “epidemic of teenage hearing loss” and that because of this the current generation of younger adults has more hearing problems than the typical older adult. Of note, we used a positive no-threat manipulation rather than a neutral no-threat manipulation in order to clearly separate the conditions in terms of the amount of threat they experienced. After reading the article, participants in both conditions were told that the study was designed to test the article’s conclusions and that they had been selected to participate because they were an older adult.
Participants next answered 26 questions about their own hearing abilities. These questions were adapted from the Hearing Handicap Inventory for the Elderly – Screening Version (e.g., ‘Do you have difficulty hearing when someone speaks in a whisper?’; ‘Does a hearing problem cause you difficulty when listening to TV or radio?’; [13]) and from the Speech Spatial and Qualities of Hearing Scale (e.g., ‘You are in conversation with one person in a room where there are many other people talking. Can you follow what the person you are talking to is saying?’; ‘Can you tell how far away a bus or truck is from the sound?’; [14]). Participants responded to each question using a sliding scale from 0-100. Internal consistency of this scale was excellent; the Chronbach’s alpha was 0.96 (with ten items reverse-scored). To ease interpretation of responses, raw scores were transformed to standardized T-scores.

At the end of the study participants completed a demographics questionnaire and their vocabulary was assessed via a 9-question abbreviated version of the Nelson-Denny test [15]. Participants received $1.50 upon study completion.

Results

As can be seen in Figure 1, the effect of our stereotype threat manipulation on participants’ self-reported hearing abilities was moderated by chronological age. To demonstrate this, we conducted a moderation analysis using Hayes’ (model 1) PROCESS macro for SPSS [16]. Within this analysis we used 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals based on 5,000 bootstrapped samples, and mean centered the moderator and interaction term. Overall, this model was significant, $F(3, 111) = 3.00, p = .03, R^2 = .08$. Although there was no significant direct effect of our stereotype threat manipulation on self-reported hearing, $t(111) = -0.96, p = .34, \eta^2 = .008$, there was a significant interaction between our stereotype threat manipulation and age in predicting self-reported hearing, $t(111) = -2.02, p = .046, \eta^2 = .035$ (which is indicative of
moderation). Follow-up simple slopes analyses showed that stereotype threat did not affect self-reported hearing for participants who were 1 SD below the mean age of our sample (i.e., 43.10 years old), $t(111) = 0.75$, $p = .45$, $\eta^2 = .005$, or who were at the mean age of our sample (i.e., 50.03 years old), $t(111) = -0.96$, $p = .34$, $\eta^2 = .008$. In contrast, stereotype threat was associated with significantly lower self-reported hearing for participants whose age was 1 SD above the mean of our sample (i.e., 56.94 years old), $t(111) = -2.10$, $p = .038$, $\eta^2 = .038$.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of hearing loss increases with age [1]. Because of this, some people incorrectly assume that all older adults’ have poor hearing abilities. For example, in one survey, 33% of older Americans stated that they had interacted with someone who assumed that they could not hear well simply because of their age [17]. In this study, we tested the impact of this stereotype on subjective hearing. Results revealed that stereotype threat about age-related hearing declines negatively impacted self-reported hearing, but only for participants in their late 50’s or 60’s. In contrast, stereotype threat about age-related hearing decline did not affect the middle-aged individuals in our sample, who were in their 40’s or early 50’s.

The age specificity of our results suggests that they arose due to stereotype threat. According to stereotype threat theory, effects of threat should be limited to individuals who self-identify with the threatened group [8]. Thus, age-based stereotype threat should only affect people who self-identify as an older adult, which is more likely when people reach their late 50’s and 60’s. Our results were consistent with this, and also rule out alternate explanations, such as experimenter demand or self-stereotyping (for a review, see [5]), which would have been associated with age-invariant outcomes. Furthermore, our results are the first to clearly show
that older adults’ self-assessments of their own abilities can be negatively affected by stereotype threat.

Although the current study is also the first to demonstrate an effect of stereotype threat within the domain of hearing, one limitation is that we did not include objective hearing measures. It is therefore not clear whether stereotype threat similarly lowers objective hearing performance, or whether the magnitude of stereotype threat effects vary between subjective and objective hearing performance outcomes. Of note, previous research has shown that for people younger than 70, the prevalence of subjective hearing problems is higher than the prevalence of objective hearing problems [18]. Furthermore, research has also shown that self-reports of hearing abilities can be affected by non-physiological factors, such as cognitive abilities, educational attainment, and personality [18-19]. Taken together, these findings suggest that subjective hearing complaints may be more susceptible to psychological variables such as stereotype threat than objective hearing problems. However, future research is needed to examine this issue.

The current findings have implications for researchers studying age-related hearing loss. First, when older adult participants are aware that a study is investigating age differences in hearing abilities they may experience stereotype threat. This in turn will lower their self-reported hearing and artificially increase the ability gap that is observed between the younger and older adult participants. It could also lead to overestimation of the prevalence of age-related hearing decline. To ameliorate these problems we recommend that researchers avoid discussing age, or the association of age with hearing loss, prior to administering hearing assessments.

Second, researchers should keep in mind that stereotype threat can affect a wide-variety of outcomes and may serve as a confounding variable when examining the psychosocial
correlates of hearing loss. For example, there is a positive correlation between self-reported hearing loss and loneliness, which is presumed to be due to hearing-loss associated communication problems (e.g., [20]). However, within this relationship stereotype threat is a potential confound; the current results show that stereotype threat increases the likelihood of older adults reporting hearing problems and a study by Coudin and Alexopolous suggest that stereotype threat also increases older adults’ feelings of loneliness [10]. Thus, when examining the psychosocial correlates of hearing loss it is essential that researchers ensure that stereotype threat is minimized and/or measured.
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Table 1
Demographic characteristics as a function of stereotype threat condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive no-threat condition</th>
<th>Negative stereotype threat condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>$M = 51.02$ years ($SD = 7.31$, range = 41 to 67)</td>
<td>$M = 49.12$ years ($SD = 6.46$, range = 41 to 65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>21 men, 34 women</td>
<td>28 men, 32 women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>51 Caucasian, 2 Asian, 1 African American</td>
<td>55 Caucasian, 1 Asian, 3 African American, 1 ‘Other’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4% High school diploma</td>
<td>10% High school diploma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42% Associates degree / Some college</td>
<td>38% Associates degree/ Some college</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38% Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>38% Bachelor’s degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16% Advanced degree</td>
<td>13% Advanced degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td>$M = 7.42$ (of 9) correct</td>
<td>$M = 7.45$ (of 9) correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no significant differences between the two conditions on these demographic variables.
**Figure 1:** The association between standardized self-reported hearing abilities and age as a function of stereotype threat condition.

*Note:* Raw self-reported hearing ability scores could theoretically range from 0 (poor hearing) to 2600 (perfect hearing). To ease interpretation of results, raw scores were converted to standardized T-scores. This resulted in an average score of 50 with a standard deviation of 10. Results showed that there was no significant relationship between age and self-reported hearing abilities in the positive no-threat condition, $r = -0.03$, $p = .84$. In contrast, within the stereotype threat condition there was a negative correlation between these variables: The older the participant, the lower their self-reported hearing abilities, $r = -0.35$, $p = .006.$